

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 02-1577

JOHN R. DRAYER, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLITICAL SUB-DIVISION, in
its capacity as employers of officers and
agents, department, judiciary system,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 02-1578

JOHN R. DRAYER, JR.

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

JRS INTERNATIONAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT
CORPORATION, Nassau, the Bahamas,

Plaintiff,

versus

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLITICAL SUB-DIVISION,
political sub-division in its capacity as
employers of officers, agents, departments,
judiciary system; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY TRIAL

TEAM, in its capacity reviewing proceedings guardianship, M.V. Drayer resulting civil suits/caveates; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, individually/corporately in their capacities as police; AGENT BERGER, social services, individually and in his capacity as social services agent for Anne Arundel County; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY JUDICIAL SYSTEM, orphans, circuit district courts, individually/corporately in their capacity as officials of judiciary; MARY V. DRAYER, court appointed attorney, individually and corporately in the capacity as officer/courts by judicial appointments/judges; ATTORNEY BELL, Anne Arundel County States Attorneys, action/inaction in capacities of office, officers of the county individually/corporately; CLERK, of Anne Arundel County, recorders, in capacity of office, land records, individually and corporately; SHERIF JOHNSON; MAYOR JOHNSON, Anne Arundel County, in his official capacity as mayor, Annapolis; OTHER JOE DOES, listed/complaints/pleadings; COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND, Docket #605; SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST VIRGINIA, Docket #534,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 02-1669

JOHN R. DRAYER, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLITICAL SUB-DIVISION, in its capacity as employers of officers and agents, departments, judiciary system,

Defendant - Appellee.

JOHN R. DRAYER, JR.

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

JRS INTERNATIONAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT
CORPORATION, Nassau, the Bahamas,

Plaintiff,

versus

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLITICAL SUB-DIVISION, political sub-division in its capacity as employers of officers, agents, departments, judiciary system; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY TRIAL TEAM, in its capacity reviewing proceedings guardianship, M.V. Drayer resulting civil suits/caveates; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, individually/corporately in their capacities as police; AGENT BERGER, social services, individually and in his capacity as social services agent for Anne Arundel County; ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY JUDICIAL SYSTEM, orphans, circuit district courts, individually/corporately in their capacity as officials of judiciary; MARY V. DRAYER, court appointed attorney, individually and corporately in the capacity as officer/courts by judicial appointments/judges; ATTORNEY BELL, Anne Arundel County States Attorneys, action/inaction in capacities of office, officers of the county individually/corporately; CLERK, of Anne Arundel County, recorders, in capacity of office, land records, individually and corporately; SHERIF JOHNSON; MAYOR JOHNSON, Anne Arundel County, in his official capacity as mayor, Annapolis; OTHER JOE DOES, listed/complaints/pleadings; COURT OF APPEALS OF

MARYLAND, Docket #605; SUPREME COURT OF
APPEALS OF WEST VIRGINIA, Docket #534,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Baltimore. Frederic N. Smalkin, Chief District Judge.
(CA-00-1221-S, CA-01-729-S)

Submitted: August 20, 2002

Decided: August 30, 2002

Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

John R. Drayer, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, John R. Drayer, Jr., appeals the district court's orders denying his motions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59 and 60, denying his motions to file belated appeals, denying his motion for preparation of transcripts at government expense, and denying leave to appeal in forma pauperis. We have reviewed the records and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny the motions for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeals on the reasoning of the district court. See Drayer v. Anne Arundel County, Nos. CA-00-1221-S; CA-01-729-S (D. Md. May 21, 2002). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED